In the above example, the allowable depreciation on the 1954 acquisitions totals \$11,200. This amount when increased by salvage realized in the amount of \$800, equals the entire cost or other basis of the 1954 acquisitions (\$12,000).

(c) Change in estimated useful life. In the declining balance method when a change is justified in the useful life estimated for an account, subsequent computations shall be made as though the revised useful life had been originally estimated. For example, assume that an account has an estimated useful life of ten years and that a declining balance rate of 20 percent is applicable. If, at the end of the sixth year, it is determined that the remaining useful life of the account is six years, computations shall be made as though the estimated useful life was originally determined as twelve years. Accordingly, the applicable depreciation rate will be 16% percent. This rate is thereafter applied to the unrecovered cost or other basis.

[T.D. 6500, 25 FR 11402, Nov. 26, 1960, as amended by T.D. 6712, 29 FR 3653, Mar. 24, 1964]

§1.167(b)-3 Sum of the years-digits method.

(a) Applied to a single asset—(1) General rule. Under the sum of the yearsdigits method annual allowances for depreciation are computed by applying changing fractions to the cost or other basis of the property reduced by estimated salvage. The numerator of the fraction changes each year to a number which corresponds to the remaining useful life of the asset (including the year for which the allowance is being computed), and the denominator which remains constant is the sum of all the years digits corresponding to the estimated useful life of the asset. See section 167(c) and §1.167(c)-1 for restrictions on the use of the sum of the years-digits method.

(i) *Illustrations*. Computation of depreciation allowances on a single asset under the sum of the years-digits method is illustrated by the following examples:

Example (1). A new asset having an estimated useful life of five years was acquired on January 1, 1954, for \$1,750. The estimated salvage is \$250. For a taxpayer filing his re-

turns on a calendar year basis, the annual depreciation allowances are as follows:

Year	Cost or other basis less salvage	Fraction ¹	Allowable depreciation	Depre- ciation reserve
1954 1955 1956 1957	\$1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	5/15 4/15 3/15 2/15 1/15	\$500 400 300 200 100	\$500 900 1,200 1,400 1,500
Unrecovered value (salvage)				\$250

¹The denominator of the fraction is the sum of the digits representing the years of useful life, i.e., 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1, or 15

Example (2). Assume in connection with an asset acquired in 1954 that three-fourths of a year's depreciation is allowable in that year. The following illustrates a reasonable method of allocating depreciation:

	Depre- ciation	Allowable depreciation								
	for 12 months	1954	1955	1956						
1st year 2d year 3d year	\$500 400 300	(3/4) \$375	(½) \$125 (¾) 300	(½) \$100 (¾) 225						
Total		375	425	325						

(ii) Change in useful life. Where in the case of a single asset, a change is justified in the useful life, subsequent computations shall be made as though the remaining useful life at the beginning of the taxable year of change were the useful life of a new asset acquired at such time and with a basis equal to the unrecovered cost or other basis of the asset at that time. For example, assume that a new asset with an estimated useful life of ten years is purchased in 1954. At the time of making out his return for 1959, the taxpayer finds that the asset has a remaining useful life of seven years from January 1. 1959. Depreciation for 1959 should then be computed as though 1959 were the first year of the life of an asset estimated to have a useful life of seven years, and the allowance for 1959 would be 1/28 of the unrecovered cost or other basis of the asset after adjustment for salvage.

(2) Remaining life—(i) Application. Under the sum of the years-digits

equivalent

.0203

0204

Internal Revenue Service, Treasury

method, annual allowances for depreciation may also be computed by applying changing fractions to the unrecovered cost or other basis of the asset reduced by estimated salvage. The numerator of the fraction changes each year to a number which corresponds to the remaining useful life of the asset (including the year for which the allowance is being computed), and the denominator changes each year to a number which represents the sum of the digits corresponding to the years of estimated remaining useful life of the asset. For decimal equivalents of such fractions, see Table I of subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph. For example, a new asset with an estimated useful life of 10 years is purchased January 1, 1954, for \$6,000. Assuming a salvage value of \$500, the depreciation allowance for 1954 is \$1,000 (\$5,500×0.1818, the applicable rate from Table I). For 1955, the unrecovered balance is \$4,500, and the remaining life is 9 years. The depreciation allowance for 1955 would then be \$900 (\$4,500×0.2000, the applicable rate from Table I).

(ii) *Table I*. This table shows decimal equivalents of sum of the years-digits fractions corresponding to remaining lives from 1 to 100 years.

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE

Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalent
100.0	0.0198
99.9	.0198
99.8	.0198
99.7	.0199
99.6	.0199
99.5	.0199
99.4	.0199
99.3	.0199
99.2	.0200
99.1	.0200
99.0	.0200
98.9	.0200
98.8	.0200
98.7	.0201
98.6	.0201
98.5	.0201
98.4	.0201
98.3	.0201
98.2	.0202
98.1	.0202
98.0	.0202
97.9	.0202
97.8	.0202
97.7	.0203
97.6	.0203
97.5	.0203

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)

97.3

97.1		.0204
97.0		.0204
96.9		.0204
96.8		.0204
96.7		.0205
96.6		.0205
96.5		.0205
96.4		.0205
96.3		.0206
96.2		.0206
96.1		.0206
96.0		.0206
95.9		.0206
95.8		.0207
95.7		.0207
95.6		.0207
95.5		.0207
95.4		.0207
95.3		.0208
95.2		.0208
95.1		.0208
95.0		.0208
94.9		.0209
94.8		.0209
94.7		.0209
94.6		.0209
94.5		.0209
94.4		.0210
94.3		.0210
94.2		.0210
94.1	***************************************	.0210
94.0		.0210
	•••••	
93.9		.0211
93.8		.0211
93.7		.0211
93.6		.0211
93.5		.0212
93.4		.0212
93.3		.0212
93.2		.0212
93.1		.0213
93.0		.0213
92.9		.0213
92.8		.0213
92.7		.0213
92.6		.0214
92.5		.0214
92.4		.0214
92.3		.0214
92.2		.0214
92.1		.0215
92.0		.0215
91.9		.0215
91.8		.0216
91.7		.0216
91.6		.0216
91.5		.0216
91.4		.0216
91.3		.0217
91.2		.0217
91.1		.0217
91.0		.0217
90.9		.0218
90.8		.0218
90.7		.0218
		.0218
90.6		
90.5		.0219

.0203

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalent		Remaining life (years)	Decim equival
0.4		.0219	83.5		.02
		.0219			.02
		.0219			.02
		.0220			.02
		.0220			.02
		.0220 .0220			.02
		.0220			.02
		.0221			.0.
9.5		.0221	82.6		.0
		.0221	82.5		.0
		.0221	82.4		.0
		.0222	82.3		.0
		.0222			.0
		.0222			.0
		.0222			.0
		.0223 .0223			.0
)./		.0223			.0
		.0223			.0
		.0223			.0
		.0224			.0
		.0224			.0
		.0224			.0
3.0		.0225	81.1		.0
		.0225			.0
		.0225			.0
		.0225			.0
		.0226			.0
		.0226 .0226			J .C
		.0226			.0
		.0227			1 .0
		.0227			.0
		.0227			
6.9		.0228			.0
		.0228			.0
		.0228			.0
		.0228			.0
		.0229 .0229			0.0
		.0229			
		.0229			
		.0230			.0
		.0230			1 .0
		.0230			
8.6		.0230	78.9		.0
		.0231	78.8		.0
		.0231			.0
		.0231			.0
		.0231			.0
		.0232 .0232			0.0
		.0232			.0
		.0232			.0
		.0233			1 .0
		.0233			l .c
		.0233			
		.0234			.0
		.0234			.0
		.0234			.0
		.0234			.0
		.0235			.0
		.0235			.0
		.0235			.0
		.0236			.0
		.0236 .0236	76.9 76.8		0.0
,, ,		.0236			.0

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)		Decimal equivalent	Decimal Remaining life (years)			
					equivale	
		.0258			.02	
		.0258			.02	
		.0258			.02	
		.0259 .0259			.02	
6.4		.0259			.02	
		.0259			.02	
		.0260			.02	
		.0260			.02	
		.0261			.02	
		.0261			.02	
		.0261			.02	
		.0262			.02	
		.0262			.02	
		.0262			.02	
		.0263	68.2		.02	
5.0		.0263	68.1		.02	
		.0264	68.0		.02	
4.8		.0264	67.9		.02	
4.7		.0264	67.8		.02	
		.0265			.02	
		.0265			.02	
		.0265			.02	
		.0266			.02	
		.0266			.02	
		.0266			.02	
		.0267			.02	
		.0267			.02	
		.0267			.02	
		.0268			.02	
		.0268			.02	
		.0268 .0269			.02	
		.0269			.02	
		.0209			.02	
		.0270			.02	
		.0270			.02	
		.0271			.02	
		.0271			.02	
		.0271	65.8		.02	
		.0272	65.7		.03	
2.5		.0272	65.6		.03	
2.4		.0272			.03	
		.0273			.03	
		.0273			.03	
		.0274			.0:	
		.0274			.03	
		.0274			.03	
.8		.0275	64.9		.03	
./		.0275	64.8		.03	
٥.		.0275			.0:	
		.0276			.0:	
		.0276 .0277			.0:	
		.0277			.0:	
		.0277			.0:	
		.0278			.0:	
		.0278			.0:	
		.0278			.0:	
		.0279			.0:	
		.0279			.0:	
		.0280			.0:	
		.0280			.0:	
		.0280			.0:	
		.0281			.03	
).1		.0281			.03	
		.0282	63.1		.03	
		.0282			.03	
1.9						

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalent						
2.8		.0313	55.9		.03			
2.7		.0314	55.8		.03			
2.6		.0314	55.7		.03			
		.0315	55.6		.03			
		.0315	55.5		.03			
		.0316			.03			
2.2		.0316	55.3		.03			
2.1		.0317			.03			
		.0317			.03			
		.0318			.03			
		.0318	54.9		.03			
1.7		.0319			.03			
		.0319			.03			
		.0320	54.6		.03			
		.0320			.03			
		.0321			.03			
		.0322			.03			
		.0322			.03			
		.0323			.03			
		.0323			.03			
		.0324			.03			
		.0324			.03			
		.0325 .0325			.03			
		.0325			.03			
		.0326			.03			
		.0326			.03			
		.0327			.03			
		.0327			.03			
		.0328			.03			
		.0328	52.0		.03			
		.0329			.03			
		.0330			.03			
		.0331			.03			
		.0331			.03			
		.0332			.03			
		.0332			.03			
		.0333			.03			
		.0333			.03			
		.0334			.03			
		.0334			.03			
		.0335			.03			
		.0336			.03			
3.5		.0336	51.6		.03			
3.4		.0337	51.5		.03			
3.3		.0337	51.4		.03			
3.2		.0338	51.3		.03			
3.1		.0338	51.2		.03			
3.0		.0339			.03			
7.9		.0340			.03			
		.0340			.03			
		.0341			.03			
		.0341			.03			
		.0342			.03			
		.0342			.03			
		.0343			.03			
		.0344			.03			
		.0344			.03			
		.0345			.03			
		.0345			.03			
		.0346			.03			
		.0347			.03			
		.0347			.03			
		.0348			.03			
		.0348			.03			
		.0349			.03			
32		.0350			.03			
		.0350			.03			

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

0	N REMAINING LIFE—Continued		ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued						
	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalent		Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalen				
49.0		.0400	42.1		.0464				
		.0401			.0465				
		.0402			.0466				
		.0402			.046				
		.0403 .0404			.0468				
		.0404			.047				
		.0406			.047				
		.0406			.047				
		.0407			.047				
48.0		.0408	41.1		.047				
		.0409			.047				
		.0410			.047				
		.0411			.047				
		.0411			.048				
		.0412 .0413			.048				
		.0413			.048				
		.0415			.048				
		.0416			.048				
		.0417			.048				
46.9		.0418	40.0		.048				
46.8		.0418	39.9		.048				
		.0419			.049				
		.0420			.049				
		.0421			.049				
		.0422 .0423			.049				
		.0423			.049				
		.0424			.049				
		.0426			.049				
		.0426			.050				
		.0427			.050				
		.0428			.050				
45.6		.0429	38.7		.050				
		.0430			.050				
		.0431			.050				
		.0432			.050				
		.0433			.050				
		.0434 .0435			.051				
		.0436			.051				
		.0437			.051				
		.0438			.051				
		.0439			.051				
44.5		.0440	37.6		.051				
		.0440			.051				
		.0441			.052				
		.0442			.052				
		.0443			.052				
		.0444 .0445			.052				
		.0445			.052				
		.0440			.052				
		.0448			.053				
		.0449			.053				
13.4		.0450	36.5		.053				
		.0451			.052				
43.2		.0452			.053				
		.0453			.053				
13.0		.0455			.053				
		.0456			.054				
		.0457			.054				
		.0458			.054				
		.0459			.054				
		.0460 .0461			.054				
		.0461			.054				
		.0463			.055				

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalent	Remaining life (years)				
.2		.0552	28.3		.06		
		.0554			.06		
-		.0556	28.1		.06		
		.0557			.06		
		.0559			.06		
		.0560 .0562	27.8		.06		
		.0562	27.6		.06		
		.0565			.07		
		.0566			.07		
.2		.0566	27.3		.07		
		.0570			.07		
		.0571	27.1		.07		
		.0573			.07		
		.0575 .0576			.07		
		.0578	26.7		.07		
		.0580			.07		
		.0581			.07		
		.0583			.07		
		.0585			.07		
		.0586			.07		
		.0588			.07		
		.0590			.07		
		.0592			.07		
		.0593 .0595			.07		
		.0595			.07		
		.0599			.07		
		.0600			.07		
		.0602			.07		
		.0604			.07		
		.0606			.07		
		.0608			.07		
		.0610			.07		
		.0611			.07		
		.0613 .0615			.07		
		.0617			.07		
		.0619			.07		
		.0621			.07		
		.0623			.07		
0		.0625	24.1		.07		
		.0627			.08		
		.0629			.08		
		.0631			.08		
		.0633 .0635			80.		
		.0637			.08		
		.0639			.08		
		.0641			.08		
1		.0643	23.2		.08		
		.0645			.08		
		.0647			.08		
		.0649			.08		
		.0651 .0653			80.		
		.0653			.08		
		.0658			.08		
		.0660			.08		
		.0662			.08		
		.0664			.08		
		.0667			.08		
		.0669			.08		
		.0671			.08		
		.0673			.08		
		.0675			.08		
5		.0678	21.6		.08		

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)		Decimal equivalent	Remaining life (years)	Decima equivale
1.4		.0892	14.5	.12
		.0896	14.4	.12
		.0901	14.3	.13
		.0905	14.2	.13
		.0909	14.1	.13
		.0913	14.0	.13
		.0917 .0921	13.8	.13 .13
		.0925	13.7	.13
		.0930	13.6	.13
		.0934	13.5	.13
		.0939	13.4	.13
		.0943	13.3	.13
		.0948	13.2	.14
0.0		.0952	13.1	.14
9.9		.0957	13.0	.14
		.0961	12.9	.14
		.0966	12.8	.14
		.0970	12.7	.14
		.0975	12.6	.14
		.0980	12.5	.14
		.0985	12.4	.14
		.0990	12.3	.1:
		.0995 .1000	12.2	.1:
		.1000	12.0	.1. .1.
		.1003	11.9	.1
		.1015	11.8	.1
		.1013	11.7	.1
		.1025	11.6	.1
		.1030	11.5	.1
		.1036	11.4	.1
		.1041	11.3	.1
		.1047	11.2	.1
.0		.1053	11.1	.1
.9		.1058	11.0	.1
		.1063	10.9	.1
		.1069	10.8	.1
		.1074	10.7	.1
		.1080	10.6	.1
		.1086	10.5	.1
		.1092	10.4	.1
		.1098 .1105	10.3	.1 .1
		.1105	10.2 10.1	.1
		.1117	10.0	.1
		.1123	9.9	.1
		.1129	9.8	.1
		.1135	9.7	.1
		.1142	9.6	.1
.4		.1148	9.5	.1
		.1155	9.4	.1
		.1162	9.3	.1
.1		.1169	9.2	.1
		.1176	9.1	.1
		.1183	9.0	.2
		.1190	8.9	.2
		.1197	8.8	.2
		.1204	8.7	.2
		.1211	8.6	.2
		.1218	8.5	.2
		.1226	8.4	.2
		.1234	8.3	.2
		.1242	8.2	.2
		.1250	8.1	.2
		.1257 .1265	8.0	.2 .2
O.		.1265	7.9	.2
			7.8	

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

_	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equivalent
6		.2317
5		.2344
1		.2372
3		.2401
2		.2432 .2465
)		.2500
9		.2527
3		.2556
7		.2587
6		.2619
5		.2653
		.2689
		.2727
		.2768
		.2811 .2857
		.2892
		.2929
		.2969
		.3011
		.3056
		.3103
		.3155
		.3210
		.3269
		.3333
		.3379
		.3429
		.3538
		.3600
		.3667
		.3739
		.3818
		.3905
		.4000
		.4063
		.4130
		.420
		.4286
		.4373
		.4583
		.4706
		.484
		.5000
		.5088
		.518
		.5294
		.5417 .5556
		.5714
		.589
		.611
		.6364
		.666
		.6786
		.6923
		.7083
		.727
		.750 .777
		.812
		857
		.857° .916

NOTE: For determination of decimal equivalents of remaining lives falling between those shown in the above table, the taxpayer may use the next longest life shown in the table, interpolate from the table, or use the following formula from which the table was derived.

D=2R/(W+2F)(W+1)

where:

 $D \verb=Decimal equivalent.$

R=Remaining life.

W=Whole number of years in remaining life. F=Fractional part of a year in remaining life.

If the taxpayer desires to carry his calculations of decimal equivalents to a greater number of decimal places than is provided in the table, he may use the formula. The procedure adopted must be consistently followed thereafter.

(b) Applied to group, classified, or composite accounts—(1) General rule. The sum of the years-digits method may be applied to group, classified, or composite accounts in accordance with the plan described in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph or in accordance with other plans as explained in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph.

(2) Remaining life plan. The remaining life plan as applied to a single asset is described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. This plan may also be applied to group, classified, or composite accounts. Under this plan the allowance for depreciation is computed by applying changing fractions to the unrecovered cost or other basis of the account reduced by estimated salvage. The numerator of the fraction changes each year to a number which corresponds to the remaining useful life of the account (including the year for which the allowance is being computed), and the denominator changes each year to a number which represents the sum of the years digits corresponding to the years of estimated remaining useful life of the account. Decimal equivalents of such fractions can be obtained by use of Table I under paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section. The proper application of this methodrequires that the estimated remaining useful life of the account be determined each year. This determination, of course, may be made each year by analysis, i.e., by determining the remaining lives for each of the components in the account, and averaging

them. The estimated remaining life of any account, however, may also be determined arithmetically. For example, it may be computed by dividing the unrecovered cost or other basis of the account, as computed by straight line depreciation, by the gross cost or other basis of the account, and multiplying the result by the average life of the assets in the account. Salvage value is not a factor for the purpose of determining remaining life. Thus, if a group account with an average life of ten years had at January 1, 1958, a gross asset balance of \$12,600 and a depreciation reserve computed on the straight line method of \$9,450, the remaining life of the account at January 1, 1958, would be computed as follows:

 $12,600 - 9,450 \div 12,600 \times 10$ years equals 2.50 years.

Example. The use of the sum of the years-digits method with group, classified, or composite accounts under the remaining life plan is illustrated by the following example: A calendar year taxpayer maintains a group account to which a five-year life is applicable. Original investment, additions, retirements, and salvage recoveries are the same as those set forth in example (3) of paragraph (b) of §1.167(b)-1.

DEPRECIATION COMPUTATIONS ON A GROUP ACCOUNT UNDER REMAINING LIFE PLAN

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	ļ													
					Straight	Straight	Remain- ing life	Asset balance	Current addi-	Salvage realized	Sum of	the years	digits depre	ciation
					amount	reserve	IIIg IIIC	reduced	tions re-	Tealized	Accumu- lated re-			Allow-
Year	Asset	Current	Current	Average	Col. (4)÷ (5) – Col. (3) accumu-	[Col. (1) –	by salvage	duced by salvage	by		Unre- covered	Rate based	able deprec- iation	
				asset balance		(5) – Col. (3) accumu-	Col. (6)÷ Col. (1)]× av- erage	Col. (1)× (100%-	Col (2)×		Prior reserve+	Jan. 1	on Col. (7) from Table 1	Col. (12)× Col.
					lated Jan. 1		6.67%)	(100% – 6.67%)		(14)+ Col. (10) – Col. (3)	Col. (8) – Col. (11)		(13)+ ½ Col. (9)×F ²	
1954		\$12,000		\$6,000	1\$1,200		5.00		\$11,200				0.3333	\$1,866
1955	\$12,000			12,000	2,400	\$1,200	4.50	\$11,200			\$1,866	\$9,334	.3600	3,360
1956	12,000			12,000	2,400	3,600	3.50	11,200			5,226	5,974	.4375	2,614
1957	12,000		\$2,000	11,000	2,200	6,000	2.50	11,200		\$200	7,840	3,360	.5556	1,867
1958	10,000		2,000	9,000	1,800	6,200	1.90	9,333		200	7,907	1,426	.6786	968
1959	8,000	10,000	4,000	11,000	2,200	6,000	1.25	7,466	9,333	400	7,075	391	.8125	1,874
1960	14,000		2,000	13,000	2,600	4,200	3.50	13,066			5,349	7,717	.4375	3,376
1961	12,000		2,000	11,000	2,200	4,800	3.00	11,200			6,725	4,475	.5000	2,238
1962						5,000					6,963			

 $^{^{-1}\}ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}_2$ year's amount. 2 F=Rate based on average service life (0.3333 in this example).

(3) Other plans for application of the sum of the years-digits method. Taxpayers who wish to use the sum of the years-digits method in computing depreciation for group, classified, or composite accounts in accordance with a sum of the years digits plan other than the remaining life plan described herein may do so only with the consent of the Commissioner. Request for permission to use plans other than that described shall be addressed to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Washington, D.C. 20224.

§1.167(b)-4 Other methods.

(a) Under section 167(b)(4) a taxpayer may use any consistent method of computing depreciation, such as the sinking fund method, provided depreciation allowances computed in accordance with such method do not result in accumulated allowances at the end of any taxable year greater than the total of the accumulated allowances which could have resulted from the use of the declining balance method described in section 167(b)(2). This limitation applies only during the first two-thirds of the useful life of the property. For example, an asset costing \$1,000 having a useful life of six years may be depreciated under the declining balance method in accordance with §1.167(b)-2, at a rate of 331/3 percent. During the first four years or \(^2\)3 of its useful life, maximum depreciation allowances under the declining balance method would be as follows:

	Current depreciation	Accumu- lated depreciation	Balance
Cost of asset First year Second year Third year Fourth year	\$333 222 148 99	\$333 555 703 802	\$1,000 667 445 297 198

An annual allowance computed by any other method under section 167(b)(4) could not exceed \$333 for the first year, and at the end of the second year the total allowances for the two years could not exceed \$555. Likewise, the total allowances for the three years could not exceed \$703 and for the four years could not exceed \$802. This limitation would not apply in the fifth and sixth years. See section 167(c) and

 $\S1.167(c)-1$ for restriction on the use of certain methods.

(b) It shall be the responsibility of the taxpayer to establish to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that a method of depreciation under section 167(b)(4) is both a reasonable and consistent method and that it does not produce depreciation allowances in excess of the amount permitted under the limitations provided in such section.

§ 1.167(c)-1 Limitations on methods of computing depreciation under section 167(b) (2), (3), and (4).

(a) In general. (1) Section 167(c) provides limitations on the use of the declining balance method described in section 167(b)(2), the sum of the yearsdigits method described in section 167(b)(3), and certain other methods authorized by section 167(b)(4). These methods are applicable only to tangible property having a useful life of three years or more. If construction, reconstruction, or erection by the taxpayer began before January 1, 1954, and was completed after December 31, 1953, these methods apply only to that portion of the basis of the property which is properly attributable to such construction, reconstruction, or erection after December 31, 1953. Property is considered as constructed, reconstructed, or erected by the taxpayer if the work is done for him in accordance with his specifications. The portion of the basis of such property attributable to construction, reconstruction, or erection after December 31, 1953, consists of all costs of the property allocable to the period after December 31, 1953, including the cost or other basis of materials entering into such work. It is not necessary that such materials be acquired after December 31, 1953, or that they be new in use. If construction or erection by the taxpayer began after December 31, 1953, the entire cost or other basis of such construction or erection qualifies for these methods of depreciation. In the case of reconstruction of property, these methods do not apply to any part of the adjusted basis of such property on December 31, 1953. For purposes of this section, construction, reconstruction, or erection by the taxpayer begins when physical work is